

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Palent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upbi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,784	07/25/2001	Jeffrey K. Jeansonne	1662-36800 JMH (P00-3492)	5605
22879 7.	590 09/19/2005		EXAM	INER
••	ACKARD COMPAN	ZHONG, CHAD		
	00, 3404 E. HARMON	L ADMINIM I	DARED MUMOED	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B					
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/912,784	JEANSONNE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MANUAL DATE of this communication and	Chad Zhong	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au	<u>ıgust 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-34 and 36-55 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) 22 and 23 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-21,24-34 and 36-55 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	, -	(DTO 440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office Office Action Summary Det of Dance No. (Mail Date 20010725)					

Application/Control Number: 09/912,784 Page 2

Art Unit: 2152

OFFICE ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 08/31/2005. This action has been made final.

Claims 17-34 and 36-55 are presented for examination. In amendment A, filed on 08/31/2005:

Claims 17, 22, 32, 34, 36, 38, and 48 are amended.

Claims 1-16, 35 are cancelled.

Claims 54-55 are newly added.

Applicant's remarks filed 08/31/2005 have been considered but are found not persuasive in view at the new grounds at rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-23 are allowable based on all of Applicant's argument filed 08/31/2005, the following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach "and the command for the same amount of time that the electrical switch is activated, thus requiring the user to hold electrical switch in the actuated position during a seek period of the media access controller". The Most relevant refence was "US 6,448,927", however this patent teaches momentary activation rather than continuously holding of the switch.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

- 2. Claims 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following terms lack antecedent basis:

i. the operating system - claim 54, line 10-11.

- b. a. The claim language in the following claims is not clearly understood, rendering the claims indefinite:
- i. As per claim 11, line 1, it is not clearly understood what is meant by user device (i.e. in claim 15, user device was stated as set-top-box)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371 (c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 4. Claims 17, 21, 24-30, 32, 34, 36-37, 40, 44-50, and 52-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishigaki et al. (hereinafter Ishigaki), US 6,448,927.
- 5. As per claim 17, Ishigaki teaches a computer system comprising:

a radio module (wherein the mobile device comprises of radio module, see for example, Fig 2, item la communicates via wireless radio) that scans for available wireless access points (Col. 4, lines 9-10); a power supply coupled to the radio module (wherein the radio operates on power supply on the mobile device, see for example, Col. 4, lines 1-15);

an electrical switch mounted on an external surface of the computer system (see for example, item 3b on Fig 2, wherein the button constitutes a switch); and

a seek logic coupled to the electrical switch and the power supply (Fig 2, item 1 and 2); wherein the seek logic is configured to commands the power supply to power the radio module

responsive to the actuation of the electrical switch (see for example, Col. 4, lines 1-15), and

Application/Control Number: 09/912,784 Page 4

Art Unit: 2152

wherein the radio module scans for available wireless access points (Col. 4, lines 9-10), and indicates the availability of a wireless access point (Col. 4, lines 10-15), both while the computer system is powered-off (the mobile device is in a low powered state, Col. 4, lines 50-65).

- 6. As per claim 21, Ishigaki teaches the computer system as defined in claim 17 wherein the electrical switch further comprises a momentary push button switch mounted on an outer surface of a video display of the computer system (see for example, Fig 2, item 3b).
- As per claim 24, Ishigaki teaches the computer system as defined in claim 17 wherein, responsive to a momentary actuation of the electrical switch, the seek logic is configured to command the power supply to power the radio module for a sufficient amount of time to allow the radio module to perform a wireless access seek function, and wherein the seek logic commands the radio module to perform a scan for available wireless access points responsive to the momentary actuation of the electrical switch (Col. 4, lines 1-15).
- 8. As per claim 25, claim 25 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 23 above.
- 9. As per claim 26, Ishigaki teaches a method of finding wireless access points with a computing device, the method comprising:

requesting a wireless access seek with the computing device powered-off (Col. 4, lines 1-2); scanning for available wireless access points with a wireless communication module of the portable computing device while remaining portions of the computing device are powered off (see for example, Col. 4, lines 1-15 and lines 50-67); and

indicating the availability of wireless access points while the remaining portions of the computing device are powered off (Col. 4, lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2152

10. As per claim 27-28, claims 27-28 are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 17 and 24 above respectively.

Page 5

- 11. As per claim 29, claims 29 are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 17 above.
- 12. As per claim 30, Ishigaki teaches the method as defined in claim 26 wherein scanning for available wireless access points further comprises executing software in a microcontroller of a wireless communication module, and wherein the software controls various radio components in the wireless communication module (wherein the components in a mobile device are controlled by software, embedded, operating system or otherwise).
- 13. As per claim 32, Ishigaki teaches a computer comprising:
 - a seek request button mounted on an outer surface of the computer (Fig 2, item 3b);
 - a seek logic coupled to seek request button (Fig. 2, item 1 and 2);

a first power supply coupled to seek logic, and wherein the seek logic enables substantially only the first power supply responsive to assertion of the seek request button (the power supply is inherent in the current invention, Col. 4, lines 1-15);

a wireless communication module coupled to seek logic and the first power supply, wherein the first power supply powers the wireless communication module, and wherein the seek logic enables the wireless communication module to perform seeking for wireless access points responsive to assertion of the seek request button (Col. 4, lines 1-15);

a notification device coupled to the wireless module wherein the notification device indicates the unavailability of a wireless access point (Col. 6, lines 10-20).

14. As per claim 34, Ishigaki teaches a computer comprising:

a seek request button mounted on an outer surface of the computer (Fig 2, item 3b);

Art Unit: 2152

a seek logic coupled to seek request button (Fig. 2, item 1 and 2);

a wireless communication module coupled to seek logic and a first power supply, wherein the first power supply powers substantially only the wireless communication module responsive to assertion of the seek request button, and wherein the seek logic enables the wireless communication module to perform seeking for wireless access points responsive to assertion of the seek request button (the power supply is inherent in the current invention, Col. 4, lines 1-15); and

a notification device coupled to the wireless communication module, wherein the notification device indicates the availability of a wireless access point (Col. 4, lines 10-15);

wherein the seek logic refrains from enabling the wireless communication module to perform seeking for wireless access clients if the computer is powered-on (Col. 7, lines 38-50).

- 15. As per claim 36, claim 36 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 17 above.
- 16. As per claim 37, claims 37 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 17 above.
- 17. As per claim 38, Ishigaki teaches a computer system comprising:

a means for activating a seek for a wireless access point mounted on an outer surface of the computer (Fig 2, item 3b);

a means for wireless network access (Fig 2, item 1a);

a first means for powering the means for wireless network access (Col. 4, lines 1-15);

a means for controlling the means for wireless network access coupled to the means for wireless network access, the means for activating, and the means for powering (Col. 4, lines 1-15), wherein the means for controlling refrains from enabling the means for wireless network access to perform seeking for wireless access points if the computer system is powered-on (Col. 7, lines 38-50);

Art Unit: 2152

wherein the first means for powering powers substantially only the means for wireless network access, and wherein the means for controlling enables the means for wireless network access to perform a seek for wireless access points responsive to assertion means for activating (Col. 4, lines 1-15); and a means for notification of the availability of a wireless access point coupled to the means for wireless communication (Col. 4, lines 10-15).

- 18. As per claim 40, claim 40 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 17, 26, 32, 37 above.
- 19. As per claim 44, Ishigaki teaches the handheld device as defined in claim 40 wherein the wireless communication module further comprises:

a microcontroller coupled to the seek request button and the system battery, and wherein the microcontroller is programmed to perform wireless access seeks responsive to assertion of the seek request button (Col. 4, lines 1-15);

a plurality of radio circuits coupled to the microcontroller adapted to facilitate the microcontroller's wireless access seeks (Col. 4, lines 1-15).

- 20. As per claim 45, claim 45 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 17 above.
- 21. As per claim 46, claim 46 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 26 above.
- 22. As per claim 47, claim 47 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 17 above.
- 23. As per claim 48, the claim is rejected for the same reason as combination of rejection to claims 17, 22, 34, and 38 above respectively.
- 24. As per claim 49-50, claims 49-50 are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 17, 21 above respectively.

Art Unit: 2152

25. As per claim 52, claim 52 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 20 and 13 above.

Page 8

- 26. As per claim 53, Ishigaki teaches the radio module indicates the unavailability of a wireless access point while the computer system is powered off (Col. 6, lines 10-20).
- 27. As per claim 54, Ishigaki teaches a computer system comprising:

a radio module that scans for available wireless access points (Fig 2, item 1);

a power supply coupled to the radio module (power supply is inherent);

an electrical switch mounted on an external surface of the computer system (Fig 2, item 3b); and

a seek logic coupled to the electrical switch and the power supply (Fig 2, item 1 and 2);

wherein the seek logic commands the power supply to power the radio module responsive to the actuation of the electrical switch (Col. 4, lines 1-15); and

wherein the radio module scans for available wireless access points (Col. 4, lines 1-15), and indicate the availability of a wireless access point, both before the operating system of the computer system is booted (Col. 4, lines 50-65).

As per claim 55, Ishigaki teaches the radio module indicates the unavailability of a wireless access point before the operating system of the computer system is booted (Col. 4, lines 50-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 29. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2152

30. Claims 18-20, 31, 33, 39, 41-43, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Page 9

over Ishigaki et al. (hereinafter Ishigaki), US 6,448,927.

31. As per claim 18, claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 1 above.

Further, the notion of USB connection is not explicitly taught in Ishigaki, however, the concept and

advantages of providing for USB connection is well known and expected in the art. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include USB port with Ishigaki because it would provide for

an alternative way to detect wireless access points on a computer system. Moreover, Ishigaki teaches the

notion of at least two modes of operation, one for full battery and other for power saving, both modes

are capable of detection of wireless access points, thus there are plurality of methods of detecting for

wireless access point is taught by this aspect of Ishigaki, and USB interface would simply be another way

of detection for wireless access points.

32. As per claim 19, Ishigaki does not explicitly teaches the notion of a light emitting diode (LED),

however, the concept and advantages of providing for LEDs are well known and expected in the art. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the LEDs for notification purposes

with Ishigaki because it would provide for a way of notifying the user. Further, Ishigaki teaches the

notification step wherein the message of notification comes from the access point when the message

forwarded to the client.

33. As per claim 20, claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 43 above.

34. As per claim 31, 33, 39, 41, 51, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim

1

19 above.

35. As per claim 42, claim 42 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 19 above.

Art Unit: 2152

Furthermore, the availability notification is taught by Ishigaki, see for example, Col. 4, lines 1-15.

As per claim 43, Ishigaki does not explicitly teaches the handheld device as defined in claim 40 wherein the notification device further comprises a display device for displaying text messages indicative of the availability of wireless access. However, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to display the retrieved access point information on the LCD of the

Conclusion

- 37. Applicant's remarks filed 08/31/2005 have been considered but are moot in view at the new grounds at rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendment.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 The following patents and publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to
 "WIRELESS ACCESS POINT SEEK MODE FOR WIRELESS ACCESS CLIENTS".
 - i. US 5826015 Schmidt et al.

mobile device, in order to inform the user of the information retrieved.

- ii. US 2001/0031626 Lindskog et al.
- iii. US 2002/0069231 Ichikawa

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad Zhong whose telephone number is (571)272-3946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 to 4:30.

Art Unit: 2152

13.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BURGESS, GLENTON B can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CZ September 12, 2005

> Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner

Page 11